

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/847,644	POLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dov Popovici	2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dov Popovici (3) _____

(2) Peter Trzyna (Reg. No. 32,601) (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 28 and 39.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed to. In claim 28, line 1, "process" is changed to --method--, in claim 39, line 7, "the steps of:" is changed to --the step of--, line 9, after "computer;", --whereby-- is inserted, line 10, "transmitting" is deleted, line 11, after "design", --are transmitted to -- is inserted, line 12, "printing" is deleted, line 13, after "design", --is printed-- is inserted, and on line 15, "shipping" is deleted and after "group", --is shipped-- is inserted. The existing abstract is replaced with a newer abstract; (see the attached examiner's amendment for more specific details).